

From: Jolie Gines/DTIS/SFGOV
To: Stusmith97@aol.com
Cc: Barry.Fraser@SFGOV.ORG, Ron.Vinson@sfgov.org, superdaly@yahoo.com, Chris.Vein@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org, Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org, superpup1000@gmail.com, sydney@media-alliance.org, markleno@sbcglobal.net, Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org, eric.mar@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, matierandross@sfchronicle.com, rgordon@sfchronicle.com, Matthewsabajko@aol.com, sethharpending@yahoo.com, SisterDana@pacbell.net, RinkFoto@comcast.com, Stusmith97@aol.com

Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2009 11:39AM
Subject: Re: Citizen Frustration with Department of Technology

Dear Mr. Smith,

Thank you for your comments. Please be advised that this is the only e-mail I or the team has received from you. DT is committed to responding to its e-mails and inquiries regarding the Notice of Intent to Award for the Public Access Cable Channel Operator.

Thus far, DT has received 10 emails, including this one , which are all posted on the website.

Thank you,

Jolie Gines
 City and County of San Francisco
 Department of Technology-Contracts Administration
 One South Van Ness, Second Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94103

415 581 3974
 415 581 3970 FAX

-----Stusmith97@aol.com wrote: -----

To: Jolie.Gines@SFGOV.ORG, Barry.Fraser@SFGOV.ORG, Ron.Vinson@sfgov.org, superdaly@yahoo.com, Chris.Vein@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org, Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org, superpup1000@gmail.com, sydney@media-alliance.org, markleno@sbcglobal.net, Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org, eric.mar@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, matierandross@sfchronicle.com, rgordon@sfchronicle.com, Matthewsabajko@aol.com, sethharpending@yahoo.com, SisterDana@pacbell.net, RinkFoto@comcast.com
 From: Stusmith97@aol.com
 Date: 07/20/2009 03:58PM
 Cc: Stusmith97@aol.com
 Subject: Citizen Frustration with Department of Technology

Dear Ms. Gines,

I went to the site that shows letters and emails you received from citizens regarding the awarded contract for Public Access Television in reaction or commenting on the awarded contract to BAVC. I sent an email letter two weeks ago during the posted time requirement to you, copied to others in your department also included in this email. My experience with DT, formerly DTIS, has been problematic since my reading the Grand Jury findings they published the past three terms. I know they're understaffed and lack budget resources and I empathize, but every department in SF government suffers this chronic condition and functions reasonably well except Muni. I'd like to know how many responses they received and why they chose to publish or post only those they did? My email indicates my response was opened by at least one of the addressees and I worry that DT may have inadvertently lost or misplaced many email and postal mail responses to smooth over

public outcry over this award. I especially am concerned about the loss of access and training to **African, American, Asian, Latino, Senior, Disabled and Youth communities who have effectively LOST** a voice and role in media thanks to this convenient shedding of a major city asset to an agency that will use this asset to profit and augment their operations at the cost of community service and education.

Kindly let me know what happened to my email response to your request and how many responses you received in total.

Thank You,

Stuart Smith
Producer

What's for dinner tonight? Find [quick and easy dinner ideas](#) for any occasion.